Surface acoustic wave devices as passive buried sensors
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Surface acoustic wave (SAW) devices are currently used as passive remote-controlled sensors for measuring various physical quantities through a wireless link. Among the two main classes of designs—resonator and delay line—the former has the advantage of providing narrow-band spectrum informations and hence appears compatible with an interrogation strategy complying with Industry-Scientific-Medical regulations in radio-frequency (rf) bands centered around 434, 866, or 915 MHz. Delay-line based sensors require larger bandwidths as they consists of a few interdigitated electrodes excited by short rf pulses with large instantaneous energy and short response delays but is compatible with existing equipment such as ground penetrating radar (GPR). We here demonstrate the measurement of temperature using the two configurations, particularly for long term monitoring using sensors buried in soil. Although we have demonstrated long term stability and robustness of packaged resonators and signal to noise ratio compatible with the expected application, the interrogation range (maximum 80 cm) is insufficient for most geology or geophysical purposes. We then focus on the use of delay lines, as the corresponding interrogation method is similar to the one used by GPR which allows for rf penetration distances ranging from a few meters to tens of meters and which operates in the lower rf range, depending on soil water content, permittivity, and conductivity. Assuming propagation losses in a pure dielectric medium with negligible conductivity (snow or ice), an interrogation distance of about 40 m is predicted, which overcomes the observed limits met when using interrogation methods specifically developed for wireless SAW sensors, and could partly comply with the above-mentioned applications. Although quite optimistic, this estimate is consistent with the signal to noise ratio observed during an experimental demonstration of the interrogation of a delay line buried at a depth of 5 m in snow.


I. INTRODUCTION

Within the framework of wireless sensors, surface acoustic (SAW) piezoelectric devices provide unique performances in terms of robustness and autonomy compared to active devices (better temperature stability compared to complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor (CMOS) devices, no need for on-board power supply), and larger interrogation distance than radio-frequency identification (rf) passive tags. The use of piezoelectric delay lines and resonators for monitoring physical quantities such as temperature, strain, torque, and pressure have already been demonstrated.1–5 As opposed to CMOS based devices, acoustic sensors relying on a piezoelectric substrate do not exhibit an incoming energy level threshold to work properly.6

The operating principle of these devices is based on a direct piezoelectric effect and dissipated as an electromagnetic radiation through the antenna. This signal is captured and analyzed to evaluate the measured physical parameter. The resulting interrogation range and compliance with existing radar systems [ground penetrating radar (GPR) (Ref. 6)] complements the identification capability already familiar to passive identify friend/foe systems used since the second world war, with measurement capabilities.7

The short interrogation delay (microsecond to millisecond range) of such sensors enables one for fast data refreshing. The SAW device itself is small (typical package size $10 \times 5 \times 1$ mm$^3$) but the associated rf antennas penalize the compactness of the whole sensor, depending on its frequency operation and the nature of its environment. For instance, operation in dense absorbing (organic) media or with metallic surroundings still is a challenge for achieving large interrogation distance.

We have here investigated the use of SAW resonators and delay lines as buried sensors for long term temperature monitoring; while interrogation speed is hardly an issue, the interrogation distance will define the system efficiency and the range of use. For interrogation distances smaller than 1 m, applications mainly concern concrete surface properties
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monitoring,\textsuperscript{8} road aging or near surface soil properties monitoring. However, the application range is greatly enhanced if tens of meters range interrogation distances can be reached,\textsuperscript{9} since deep soil properties then can be accessed. We report on the long term monitoring of soil temperature using the two above-mentioned sensor configurations. Although we demonstrate long term stability and robustness of packaged sensors and signal to noise ratio compatible with the expected application, the interrogation range (maximum 80 cm) is insufficient for most geology or geophysics purposes. We then draw our inspiration from the literature concerning GPR.\textsuperscript{8}\textsuperscript{8} The latter technique is widely used for monitoring dielectric interfaces in buried structures, with penetration distances depending on the probe electromagnetic pulse duration and dielectric properties of the soil. We focus on providing complementary informations from sensors with interrogation techniques compatible with GPR, following a strategy commonly known as cooperative target.\textsuperscript{10} We particularly focus on the use of delay lines, as the corresponding interrogation method is similar to the one used by GPR which allows for interrogation distances ranging from a few meters to tens of meters and which operates in the lower rf range, depending on soil water content, permittivity and conductivity. Assuming negligible conductivity (snow or ice), an interrogation distance of about 40 m is predicted which reveals compliant with geology and geophysics purposes (temperature and stress monitoring for instance). These results were experimentally tested, using a delay line designed to operate around 100 MHz (the actual GPR working frequency) exhibiting a very simple time response (3 bits) as coding was not a purpose of this work. The delay line has been buried in snow and interrogated at various depths up to the maximum experimentally feasible distance of 5 m, which tends to validate the predicted interrogation distance.

II. BURIED RESONATORS AS PASSIVE TEMPERATURE SENSORS

A first set of experiments has been performed using resonator based sensors.\textsuperscript{11–13} Three 434 MHz SAW sensors were buried in clay after being connected to dipole antennas. The length of these antennas is adjusted prior to installation in soil assuming a relative permittivity of 10. The purpose of this experiment is to validate the operation of sensors buried in soil and the evolution of the rf link quality over time, as a function of temperature or climatic conditions (for instance moisture level in soil).

Each sensor is made of two resonators connected in parallel, one reference frequency and one measurement frequency within the 1.7-MHz-wide European Industry-Scientific-Medical (ISM) band, with each resonator designed so that its frequency remains within one half of the allocated frequency band (for temperatures ranging from −20 to 120 °C). Each sensor is packaged and hermetically sealed in 5 × 5 mm\textsuperscript{2} ceramic packages. The gold-coated contact pads are tin-soldered to the antennas made of 1.6 mm thick FR4 epoxy coated with 30 μm copper. Interrogating these sensors is performed using a custom-designed monostatic pulse mode radar system acting as a reflection-mode frequency-sweep network analyzer. The pulse mode operation improves the isolation between the emission and reception steps and hence the interrogation distance, typically a few meters in air.\textsuperscript{14}

The first observation during installation of the experiment is that an interrogation unit generating 10 dBm, with a detection limit of −70 dBm,\textsuperscript{15} is unable to detect a usable signal from devices buried only 30 cm deep, consistent with the tabulated electromagnetic propagation losses in clay whose relative permittivity $\varepsilon_r$ is in the (4–40) range and conductivity $\sigma$ is in the $(2 \times 10^{-3}–1)$ S/m range of 1–300 dB/m,\textsuperscript{15} as computed through the exponentially decay loss $\alpha$ of a monochromatic electromagnetic plane wave at pulsation $\omega$ propagating in a conducting medium

$$\alpha = \frac{\varepsilon_r \sigma}{2\varepsilon_0} \left( \sqrt{1 + \frac{\sigma^2}{\varepsilon_0^2 \omega^2}} - 1 \right),$$

where $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r$.

The read out distance is increased by inserting an electromagnetic waveguide (a simple conducting wire) in the hole in the soil near the buried device (Fig. 1). It must be noted that no electrical connection is provided between this metallic wire and the sensor on one side, or the interrogation unit on the other side, meaning that this setup is resistant to soil motion, oxidation or surface disturbances such a lawn mowing (Fig. 1). Another sensor is then buried at a depth of 80 cm and soldered to a RG174 coaxial cable protruding from the hole in the ground as an open feed connexion. All 10 cm diameter holes were refilled with the same clay than the surrounding area and watered to avoid any air gap.

This setup provides relative temperature information (Fig. 2) over time as the sensors had not been calibrated prior to the experiment. Long term drift due to aging of the transducers is reduced through the differential measurement approach: although a single resonator frequency might drift over time due to surface contamination after packaging,\textsuperscript{16} the differential approach of measuring a frequency difference between two resonators submitted to the same environment reduces this effect.

The evolution of the temperature provided by the buried sensors is consistent with a sliding average over nine days of surface temperatures as provided on the website http://www.meteoeciel.fr/ (maximum of the cross-correlation between the experimental data and the averaged values as a
FIG. 2. Evolution over more than two years of the temperature of buried sensors at depths between 30 and 80 cm. The sensors survived this environment for the duration of the experiment, with no noticeable drift or loss in rf link quality, while providing data consistent with surface temperatures. Only relative temperatures are provided by the sensors since no calibration was performed prior to the experiment: the buried sensor temperatures have been shifted with respect to the averaged air temperature for clarity, while qualitatively exhibiting similar trends after processing the mean air temperature for an interface deeper than 100 m. The raw radar signal were processed using Aslak Grinsted’s PROCEPASSRAR.M MATLAB tool. Data acquired on the Austre Lovénbreen glacier (Spitsbergen, Norway).

III. INTERROGATING DELAY LINES

A. GPR operation

Throughout this presentation, we will focus on the readout of a SAW sensor using a Malå Geoscience (Malå, Sweden) RAMAC GPR equipped in a 100 MHz bistatic configuration.

The simplest implementation of radar interrogation units are designed to generate a short—ideally single—pulse including as much energy as possible. This result is achieved in the rf range by slowly loading a capacitor with a high voltage (provided by a switching power supply for embedded designs) and “instantaneously” emptying this energy in an antenna through an avalanche transistor when triggered by a clock pulse. The duration of the energy transfer is defined by the antenna impedance, which is itself influenced by the antenna dimensions and surrounding medium permittivity (Fig. 3). Hence, GPR operation should be considered as fixed wavelength (defined by the antenna dipole dimensions) rather than fixed frequency, since the soil permittivity affects the electromagnetic velocity and hence the pulse central frequency.

In a classical mode of operation, the bistatic GPR unit operates as follows:

1. A rf pulse is generated by the emitter, for example by triggering the base of an avalanche transistor and letting the current flow from a capacitor loaded with a high voltage (360 V in the case of the RAMAC unit) to the emitting antenna. In this particular case, the peak power in the dipole antenna load (70 Ohm impedance at resonance) is thus 2 kW.

2. The direct electromagnetic wave propagating on the surface, as well as all the echoes reflected from the dielectric interfaces in the ground, are recorded by the receiving unit at a sampling rate at least ten times the nominal value of the emitted pulse (in this case a nominal working frequency of 100 MHz), with a sampling triggered by the same signal controlling the base of the avalanche transistor.

The result of this experiment running for more than 500 days is exhibited in Fig. 2: the SAW sensors packaged in ceramic housings are resistant to environmental corrosion, and no significant drift or signal loss was observed during the experiment period. The error bars are consistent with a subkelvin resolution, typically of the order of ±0.1 K. The efficiency of the wireless link was validated during night-time measurements (no visual identification of the location of the sensor other than by scanning the interrogation unit antenna over a ∼1 m² area where the sensor was supposed to be located until a usable rf signal was acquired) or when snow was covering the measurement area.

However, due to the high duty cycle of resonator interrogation (typically 50% emission and 50% reception and signal processing), peak and average rf power are both in the tens to hundreds of milliwatt range, reducing the interrogation range if rf emission regulations are met. On the other hand, ultrawide band pulse mode radar exhibits very low duty cycles, typically 0.1%, associated with high peak powers in the hundreds to thousands of watts. As this interrogation mode is hardly compatible with resonator-based sensor operation, we have considered the possibility of using wideband SAW devices, i.e., delay lines built on lithium niobate, to meet our goal. Hence, we consider in Sec. III the use of a commercially available GPR unit as interrogation units for buried acoustic delay lines acting as sensors.
equivalent time sampling reduces the receiving unit cost and bandwidth: the emitted pulse is repeated at a rate slower than the inverse time needed for the pulse to reach the maximum probing depth (10 \( \mu \)s repetition rate in the case of the RAMAC unit, yielding a maximum probing depth of 850 m considering an electromagnetic velocity in ice of 170 m/(\mu s)) and the returned signal is recorded after a time interval referenced on the trigger signal and increased by time steps inverse of the wanted sampling frequency. Hence, by delaying the recording time by an additional 250 ps with respect to the trigger signal every new emitted pulse, an equivalent sampling rate of 4 GHz is achieved even with much slower analog to digital converters and low communication bandwidth between the receiving unit and the recording computer.

For a given position of the GPR, a series of time domain return signals is called a GPR trace. Presenting the returned signal power (trace) as a color or gray-scale map is called a scan. Displaying multiple scans side by side for various positions of the GPR unit is called a GPR profile. GPR profile usually maps the evolution over distance of a dielectric interface or obstacle, for example a glacier bedrock (Fig. 3).

Our GPR unit performed in agreement with results found in the literature, allowing for the identification of an usable signal more than 150 m deep when used on ice to monitor the bedrock interface of a glacier (Fig. 3).

**B. GPR for probing acoustic delay lines**

Any impedance mismatch between the avalanche transistor output and antenna through a balun will induce ringing and, in classical radar applications, unwanted additional oscillations beyond the main pulse. This ringing may be suitable for interrogating delay lines since more than a single pulse is necessary to efficiently load energy into SAW devices, as their pass-band rarely overlaps 10% of their central operating frequency (related to their electromechanical coupling). The extreme case is the resonator of quality factor \( Q \) which needs \( Q/\pi \) periods (at 434 MHz, \( Q \approx 10,000 \) which yields about 3500 periods) to be efficiently loaded. The quality factor of the antenna is usually much below this value, of the order of unity, and hence a passive resonator (coaxial line) might be added between the balun and the antenna to store energy and induce enough ringing when interrogating resonators. Furthermore, it is wise to detune the antenna and the resonator to avoid too strong a coupling between these elements.

Hence, interrogating delay lines using a radar setup includes new challenges. The number of oscillations of the emitted pulse as well as the central frequency are strongly dependent on the permittivity of the surrounding medium. Indeed, the fixed quantity is the emitted signal wavelength which is defined by the size of the dipole antenna of the GPR, while the center frequency is induced by the equivalent permittivity of the air-soil interface. Despite the impact of the environment on the emitted signal, we observe that the emitted signal is so broad in the frequency domain that it will always overlay the relatively narrowband response of the delay line (Fig. 4).

**C. Delay line design**

The sensor we have designed includes a transducer made of 21 IDT pairs, three mirrors also made of 21 IDT pairs located at distances from the transducer so that the reflected echoes are detected 1.0, 1.3, and 2.8 \( \mu \)s after the excitation pulse. The acoustic wavelength of \( \lambda = 40 \) \( \mu \)m yields a central frequency around 100 MHz, matching the pulse length generated by the 100 MHz antenna of the RAMAC GPR unit. The 128° Y-rotated black lithium niobate (pyro-free) substrate was selected for its strong piezoelectric coupling as well as large temperature drift, making it ideal for temperature measurement applications. The free surface acoustic velocity of the Rayleigh wave is 3979 m/s: the delay line aluminum grating parameters correspond to a metallisation ratio \( a/p = 0.5 \) and a relative height \( h/\lambda = 2.5\% \) (1 \( \mu \)m thick aluminum layer) (Fig. 4, top-right). Although the acoustic sensor itself is less than 1 \( \times \) 1 \( \times \) 1 \( \times \) cm² in dimensions, the associated 100 MHz antenna is made of a 1 mm diameter copper- wire 31 dipole of total length 75 cm.

Furthermore, the time stretching strategy used by GPR to achieve such high sampling rates with rather basic electronics is interesting to develop: successive pulses are generated and the response of the environment is monitored after a programmable time delay, which, in the case of a 500 MHz...
was emitted. The sampling is performed at 500 MHz, or five times the frequency of the signal of interest. Top-right: identification of the frequency component.

Bottom-right: time evolution of the unwrapped phase of the Fourier transform at frequency abscissa 25 as identified from the top-right graph. Bottom-left: time evolution of the phase difference between the first and second echoes, after scaling and translation to match the reference temperature curve recorded with a Pt100 probe located next to the delay line. During this whole experiment, the receiving antenna is located 1 m from the emitting antenna, and the sensor is 50 cm from the receiving antenna away from the emitting antenna.

Figures 5 and 6 show, on the top-left chart, the time evolution of the reflected signal for a sensor located at 50 cm (Fig. 5) and 1 m (Fig. 6) from the receiving antenna: the sensor is located on the surface of a concrete area, away from the emitting antenna. These sensors were heated up from room temperature to 80 °C by a 3 Ω power resistor supplied with a 1.5 A current: the temperature (degC) is monitored using a Pt100 temperature probe. Since the delay lines were patterned on a lithium niobate cut with an experimental temperature drift coefficient of −70 ± 2 ppm/K, the echo delay Δτ variation is Δτ = 70 × 10⁻⁶τ(T − T₀) with T₀ the reference temperature, τ = 1.0 or 1.3 μs depending on the reflection delay τ under consideration. This time delay, Δτ ∈ 5.5–6.7 ns, is observed as a magnitude signal shift of 3 pixels at most when sampled at 500 MHz. However, as shown by Reindl et al., the magnitude information provides a rough estimation of the temperature while the use of the phase in that purpose improves the accuracy, although with a modulo 2π uncertainty. One full phase rotation is easily identified in practical conditions: considering τ = 2 μs, a phase rotation of 2π occurs, in our case, when Δτ = 10 ns, which happens when ΔT = 71 K.

We have thus applied the following algorithm to extract the temperature information from the radar recordings:

1. Roughly identify the echo location using a cross-correlation magnitude maximum between the emitted pulse and the received echoes. The first three maxima are considered since we know our delay line is designed with three reflectors, while four echoes are actually seen in the 0–3.5 μs time interval due to additional reflections on the edges of the chip.

2. Perform the Fourier transform of the returned echo to identify the frequency range of interest.
(3) The accurate time delay deduced from the position of the whole echo burst position is accessible through the phase of the short-term Fourier transform. This value is plotted in the bottom-left graphs of Figs. 5 and 6, and compared to the Pt100 temperature probe recording.

The absolute phase of the Fourier transform, i.e., absolute position of the echoes, depends on the distance of the sensor to the receiving antenna, as can be seen at trace 400 of Fig. 6, where the sensor was moved from 1 m from the receiving antenna to 50 cm. Not only does the magnitude of the received signal increase but more significantly the phase shift in both echoes is affected by this signal change. Hence, the phase difference between the time delays of the two echoes due to the two mirrors on a same delay line yields a reliable estimate of the temperature variations, and absolute temperature when calibrated. However, since the noise of the two time delay estimates are uncorrelated, the noise level of the phase difference is equal to the sum of the noises of each phase estimate: while each phase measurement allows to estimate a temperature with subkelvin accuracy when the sensor is located at a fixed position, the temperature recorded from a phase difference is accurate to a standard deviation of 1.5 K when the sensor is located at a distance of 50 cm from the receiving antenna. This figure degrades when the sensor is moved away from the receiving antenna, to get above 3 K when the sensor is located at 1 m from the receiving antenna (Fig. 6, bottom left, red). This short-term noise is strongly reduced by stacking multiple estimates in a sliding average, as can be seen in Fig. 6 where the green line is a sliding average over ten samples of the red phase-to-temperature conversion from single measurements.

These experiments were performed on concrete, with the distance between the emitting antenna and the receiving antenna equal to 1 m, and the sensor located 50 cm or 1 m from the receiving antenna, away from the emitting antenna (which was thus located 1.5 to 2 m from the delay line sensor). Such a configuration is not favorable for efficient coupling since the surface electromagnetic wave is weak with respect to the electromagnetic coupling toward the soil thanks to its strong dielectric permittivity.

Hence, experimenting in a condition favorable to GPR with an environment of low conductivity, provides convenient conditions to assess the practical usage range of these sensors. We buried sensors in 5 m high snowdrifts close to Ny-Alesund (Spitsbergen, Norway) as a representative environment of temperature monitoring of a glacier in a polar environment. The signal to noise ratios up to this depth allows for extracting the echoes returned from the SAW delay line, identifying the relative phase values and hence the temperature (Fig. 7).

D. Range estimate and sensor signal identification

The unusually long delay between the incoming pulse and the echoes returned by the delay line—1 to 2 µs would be associated with reflectors 85 to 170 m deep in ice—allows in most situations for time domain multiplexing, with sensor-
The conclusion of this plane wave analysis is that a SAW target acts as a pointlike source. Hence, the classical Friis formula stating that the electromagnetic power decays as the distance squared becomes a fourth power law, while the antenna aperture remains proportional to the electromagnetic wavelength $\lambda$: 

$$\text{FSPL}_{\text{radar}}(d) = 10 \times \log_{10}(\lambda^2/(4\pi))^2 \times \left(11/(4\pi d^2)^2\right)$$

$$= 10 \log_{10}(\lambda^2/(4\pi)^2) d^4.$$  

In order to assess the range at which the delay line with $IL_{\text{SAW}} = 35$ dB insertion loss can be interrogated, we must identify the depth $d_{\text{SAW}}$ for which the received power is equal to the one computed previously in the case of the reflection on the bedrock: 

$$\text{FSPL}_{\text{radar}}(d_{\text{interface}}) + IL_{\text{interface}} = \text{FSPL}_{\text{radar}}(d_{\text{SAW}}) + IL_{\text{SAW}}$$

yielding a computation of $d_{\text{SAW}}$ independent on $\lambda$ and numerical constants:

$$d_{\text{SAW}} = d_{\text{interface}} \times 10^{(IL_{\text{interface}}-IL_{\text{SAW}})/10}$$

In our case, since $IL_{\text{SAW}} - IL_{\text{interface}} = 16$ dB, we conclude that the depth at which the acoustic delay line echoes are 472 of the same magnitude than the reflected signal from an ice-rock interface is $d_{\text{SAW}} \approx 40$ m.  

The conclusion of this plane wave analysis is that a SAW delay line buried in ice at a depth of 40 m should provide the same signal level than the dielectric interface at 100 m. The delay line signature in an echo versus antenna position graphics (as shown in Fig. 7, for example) is characterized by multiple hyperbolas translated in time toward greater depths since the acoustic signal is an attenuated replica of the electromagnetic pulse delayed a few microseconds in time. An intercorrelation between the various pulses thus allows an accurate identification of the time delays within the delay line and hence identification of the physical quantity affecting these delays.

FIG. 7. (Color online) Signal acquired while scanning a 100 MHz GPR unit over a sensor buried 2.20 m deep in snow. The emitted pulse exhibits ringing due to impedance mismatch, a condition degrading depth resolution but favorable to efficiently load the acoustic delay line. The recorded signal clearly displays four echoes, the first three being used to extract the physical quantity under investigation. The absolute phase with respect to the emitted pulse is dependent on antenna position and constantly rises as the radar is brought close to the sensor but the phase difference is independent on antenna position and is representative of the physical quantity under investigation. As expected, each echo is made of 21 oscillations, which is equal to the number of electrode pairs in the transducer. The “inverted” hyperbola shape of the echoes is an aliasing artifact when displaying the data.
Locating the sensor position during a GPR scan is possible through the identification of the hyperbola summit: the reflected signal delay is minimum when the antennas are positioned above the sensor. However, considering a homogeneous medium (in our case ice) with a known electromagnetic velocity $c$, then the hyperbola equation of the two way time travel $2t$ as a function of antenna position $x$ on the surface is

$$4c^2t^2 - x^2 = d^2,$$

for a sensor located at depth $d$. Hence, beyond the spatial position of the sensor obtained by scanning the GPR instrument, the depth of the pointlike sensor is indicated by the hyperbola curvature equal to $1/d \times c$. Furthermore, this curvature provides a unique signature response since the observed delay (including the acoustic delay of several hundreds of nanoseconds, which would account for a depth of several tens of meters if it were due to the electromagnetic propagation speed) is inconsistent with a dielectric reflector located at depth $d$.

Finally, multiple sensors with different polarizations can be located in common view of the GPR unit: we have observed that the strong linear polarization of the pulse emitted by the GPR dipole is able to select the response from a single sensor buried 2 m deep in snow without interference from another similar sensor located about 4 m away and positioned with an orthogonal polarization. This strategy is only possible in the far field range, at a distance of several wavelengths (1.7 m at 100 MHz in ice) from the surface.

**IV. CONCLUSION**

We have demonstrated that SAW resonators packaged in ceramic packages buried in clay can operate for more than one year with no significant drift or signal quality degradation. Systematic monitoring of these buried devices provides temperature evolutions consistent with surface temperatures. We also have shown that an interrogation unit compliant with the 434 MHz European ISM band allows for interrogating buried sensors at a depth of 80 cm using a coaxial connection, and of 60 cm by promoting the electromagnetic field penetration in soil using a simple conductive wire placed near the sensor and standing out the ground.

We have designed and fabricated a dedicated temperature sensor for use with a 100 MHz GPR unit and demonstrated the ability to record echo signals when the sensor is located at the surface 50 cm and 1 m away from the receiving antenna, as well as buried more than 5 m deep in snow or ice. We have developed the signal processing steps from raw GPR data to extract a temperature informations deduced from the time relative time delay between successive echo pulses.

In order to improve the interrogation depth of sensors, we have analyzed the interrogation strategy of GPRs, able to detect informations of reflected electromagnetic energy at dielectric interfaces up to 100 m deep at 100 MHz in low loss propagation media such as ice. We extend this result to an estimate of the depth at which a SAW delay line might provide the same amount of reflected energy by compensating the large insertion loss by bringing the sensor closer to the surface: a plane wave calculation of Fresnel reflection coef-
efficient hints a possible depth of 40 m, in agreement with the observed signal to noise ratio achieved when the sensor is located 5 m under the surface.
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583 27See supplementary material at http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3504650 for Fig. 8 depicts the experimental setup for measuring the response of SAW delay lines buried 5 m deep in a snowdrift. The sensor and the associated 70 cm long dipole antenna are located in a 3 cm diameter tube filled with snow. The tube is inserted about 1.5 m deep in snow while the GPR scans this area and records both dielectric reflections and echoes from the SAW sensor as a function of antenna position. Although each absolute echo phase with respect to the emitted pulse is dependent on the antenna position, the difference of the phases of the echoes is independent on the antenna position and only depends on the acoustic velocity, or in this case the temperature through the temperature coefficient of frequency of the piezoelectric substrate. The data displayed in Figs. 7 and 8 were processed using the Seismic Unix package (http://www.cwp.mines.edu/cwpcodes) with the application of a normalization step and bandpass filtering in the 100 ± 50 MHz band. Analyzing the signal to noise ratio of the echoes returned—starting 1 μs after the emitted pulse and for a duration of 3.3 μs—from the sensor buried 5 m deep in snow, one can estimate the depth at which the minimum signal will be detectable. Considering a signal to noise ratio above 1.7, the maximum readout distance should be 628 consistent with the 40 m maximum depth estimated from the classical GPR links budget presented in the main text (Fig. 9).
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