Passive bistatic RADAR using spaceborne Sentinel1 non-cooperative source, a B210 and a Raspberry Pi4



jmfriedt@femto-st.fr

https://www.ettus.com/ https://www.kubii.fr/

Slides at http://jmfriedt.free.fr/grcon2021.pdf

sequel to European GNU Radio Days: http://jmfriedt.free.fr/gnuradiodays_setinel1_level0.mp4

August 12, 2021

Passive bistatic RADAR (PBR)

- Passive RADAR: using existing electromagnetic sources for RAdiofrequency Detection And Ranging (time delay and Doppler shift for target velocity)
- ▶ Popular with universities and amateurs: no need to be allowed to emit a strong signal $(P_R \propto P_E/d^4)$
- ► Range resolution solely determined by bandwidth Δf $\Delta R = c/(2\Delta f) \Rightarrow \Delta f \nearrow \Rightarrow \Delta R \searrow$
- \blacktriangleright Beamwidth \simeq azimuth resolution determined by antenna size wrt λ
- Sythetic Aperture RADAR: move transmitting and/or receiving antenna to simulate a large aperture and hence improved azimuth resolution
 Demonstrated using Ettus Research E312 or ¹ B210 ² ³ SDR platforms using frequency stacking.

Need for two coherent (same LO, same sampling rate) channels to sample reference and surveillance signals

¹S.T. Peters & al., In Situ Demonstration of a Passive Radio Sounding Approach Using the Sun for Echo Detection, IEEE Trans. Geosci. and Remote Sensing **56** (12) 7338 (Dec. 2018)

²S. Prager & al., Ultrawideband Synthesis for High-Range-Resolution Software-Defined Radar, IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas. **69** 3789--3803 (2020)

³O. Toker & al., A Synthetic Wide-Bandwidth Radar System Using Software Defined Radios, 7th International Electronic Conference on Sensors and Applications (15–30 November 2020)

ref

emitter

xcorr

target

sur

Spaceborne RADAR as PBR non-cooperative source

Most common: static emitter (e.g. DVB-T or GSM tower) and moving receiver(s) Here: spaceborne ESA Sentinel1 RADAR

- 693 km altitude, 14.59 revolutions/day
 ⁴(98.7 min/orbit)
- emitting 4.7 kW peak power chirps in C-band (5405±30 MHz) within carrier range of AD9361 (B210 frontend), 60 MHz BW
- available at any landmass location over the Earth, repeat time = 6 days (two satellites)
- Interferometric Wide (IW) Swath TOPSAR measurement: successive bursts along successive swaths
- Satellite motion along its path = spatial diversity for azimuth compression

Usually, the satellite emits and receives its own signal while flying over a given spot: can we use this signal for PBR?



https://sentinels.copernicus.eu/web/sentinel/technical-guides/sentinel-1-sar/ sar-instrument/acquisition-modes

Different parameters for each sub-swath: which one to use?

⁴NORAD TLE

Spaceborne RADAR as PBR non-cooperative source

Most common: static emitter (e.g. DVB-T or GSM tower) and moving receiver(s)

Here: spaceborne ESA Sentinel1 RADAR

- 693 km altitude, 14.59 revolutions/day
 ⁴(98.7 min/orbit)
- emitting 4.7 kW peak power chirps in C-band (5405±30 MHz) within carrier range of AD9361 (B210 frontend), 60 MHz BW
- available at any landmass location over the Earth, repeat time = 6 days (two satellites)
- Interferometric Wide (IW) Swath TOPSAR measurement: successive bursts along successive swaths
- Satellite motion along its path = spatial diversity for azimuth compression



Usually, the satellite emits and receives its own SNAP TOPSAR Split user interface showing Swaths & Bursts signal while flying over a given spot: can we use this signal for PBR?

⁴NORAD TLE

Hardware for radiofrequency signal reception

- SDR: bandwidth challenge
- single channel B210 measurement: 56 Msamples/s ...
- ... streamed to 8 GB RAM Raspberry Pi 4 (RPi 4) and stored to RAMdisk.
- RPi4 6 GB/56 MS/s IQ bytes=57 second records
- ▶ RPi4 6 GB/2 \times 30 MS/s IQ bytes=53 seconds
- Sentinel1 pass (Heavens Above): 9 min. horizon to horizon but a given location ...
- ... is only illuminated for a few seconds.
- Horn antenna: A-Infomw 20 dB gain (4.90–7.05 GHz) LB-159-20-C-SF ...
- ... but only 16° beamwidth.
- Helical antenna above a FR4 ground plane





Antenna: helical antenna made of 0.8 mm enameled copper wire wound on a hollow teflon cylinder 15 or 20 mm diameter *D*, 3–4 turns, over a 30×30 cm FR4 ground plane

Balanis 3rd Ed. (10.3.1 p. 566): $\frac{3}{4} < \frac{C}{\lambda} < \frac{4}{3} \Rightarrow C \in [3/4\lambda : 4/3\lambda]$ with $\lambda = 300/5405 = 5.54$ cm $\Leftrightarrow C = \pi D \in [4.2:7.4]$ cm $\Leftrightarrow D \in [1.3:2.3]$ cm and $R = 140 \left(\frac{C}{\lambda}\right)$ with a pitch angle $\alpha \in [12:14]^{\circ}$

Hardware for radiofrequency signal reception

- SDR: bandwidth challenge
- single channel B210 measurement: 56 Msamples/s ...
- ... streamed to 8 GB RAM Raspberry Pi 4 (RPi 4) and stored to RAMdisk.
- RPi4 6 GB/56 MS/s IQ bytes=57 second records
- RPi4 6 GB/2×30 MS/s IQ bytes=53 seconds
- Sentinel1 pass (Heavens Above): 9 min. horizon to horizon but a given location ...
- ... is only illuminated for a few seconds.
- Horn antenna: A-Infomw 20 dB gain (4.90-7.05 GHz) LB-159-20-C-SF ...
- ... but only 16° beamwidth.
- Helical antenna above a FR4 ground plane



Test each possible IW swath Pulse Repetition Interval (PRI) from Level0* decoding and check which echo aligns target reflections along constant range lines * github.com/jmfriedt/ sentinel1_level0

azimuth (sample number

2000

Antenna: helical antenna made of 0.8 mm enameled copper wire wound on a hollow teflon cylinder 15 or 20 mm diameter *D*, 3–4 turns, over a 30×30 cm FR4 ground plane

Balanis 3rd Ed. (10.3.1 p. 566): $\frac{3}{4} < \frac{C}{\lambda} < \frac{4}{3} \Rightarrow C \in [3/4\lambda : 4/3\lambda]$ with $\lambda = 300/5405 = 5.54$ cm $\Leftrightarrow C = \pi D \in [4.2:7.4]$ cm $\Leftrightarrow D \in [1.3:2.3]$ cm and $R = 140 \left(\frac{C}{\lambda}\right)$ with a pitch angle $\alpha \in [12:14]^{\circ}$

Single channel acquisition/processing

Excessive satellite motion during acquisition prevents single channel azimuth compression:

- ► Known Pulse Repetition Interval (PRI) and chirp characteristics from Level0 decoding ⁵⇒ reshape time-vector as matrix accordingly
- orbit circumference= $40000 + 2\pi \times 693 = 44354$ km $\frac{0}{6\pi}$
- linear speed=44354/(98.7 × 60) = 7.49 km/s
- 37.5 km traveled along orbit during 5-s acquisition
- Strong nearby targets are not aligned at same range and azimuth compression will not occur when inverse Fourier transform along azimuth direction
- Modified⁶ for dual coherent channel acquisition and storage as 8-bit complex https://github.com/EttusResearch/uhd/blob/ master/host/examples/rx_multi_samples.cpp



Range compressed by correlating with theoretical chirp shape

 \Rightarrow mandatory **reference channel acquisition** to compensate for satellite motion during acquisition **BUT** halves the sampling rate (range resolution) to 30 MS/s ($\Delta R \simeq 5$ m)

⁶https://github.com/jmfriedt/sentinel1_pbr/blob/main/b210_to_file/rx_multi_samples.cpp

⁶https://github.com/jmfriedt/sentinel1_level0

UHD data acquisition software

SDR: bandwidth challenge

stream_args.channels

dual channel measurement from B210: 30 Msamples/s ...

- ... streamed to Raspberry Pi 4 (RPi 4) and stored to RAMdisk.
- ► Over The Wire (otw) 8-bit samples, storage as 8-bit IQ interleaved ⇒ 30 MS/s dual channels

```
int UHD_SAFE_MAIN(int argc, char* argv[])
std::string args, svnc, subdev, channel_list="0,1"; // RX1.2
double seconds_in_future = 1.5:
                                                     // svnc
size_t total_num_samps=0×0ffffff0;
                                                     // samples
double rate=30e6 freg=5405e6 gain=70 lo offset=0: //fs fc fo G
uhd::usrp::multi_usrp::sptr usrp=uhd::usrp::multi_usrp::make(args);
if (vm.count("subdev"))
   usrp->set_rx_subdev_spec(subdev): // sets across all mboards
usrp—>set_rx_rate(rate):
uhd::tune_request_t tune_request(freq . lo_offset);
usrp \rightarrow set_rx_freq(tune_request, 0):
usrp->set_rx_freq(tune_request, 1);
usrp—>set_rx_gain(gain, 0);
usrp—>set_rx_gain(gain, 1);
usrp—>set_time_now(uhd::time_spec_t(0.0)):
std::vector<std::string> channel_strings: // which channels to use
std::vector<size t> channel nums:
boost::split(channel_strings, channel_list, boost::is_anv_of("\"',"\rightarrow
       (\rightarrow)):
for (size t ch = 0; ch < channel_strings, size(); ch++) {
   size_t chan = std::stoi(channel_strings[ch]);
  channel_nums.push_back(std::stoi(channel_strings[ch]));
uhd::stream_args_t_stream_args("sc8","sc8"): // OTW FORMAT /!
```

=channel nums

```
uhd::rx_streamer::sptr_rx_stream=usrp->get_rx_stream(stream_args);
uhd :: stream_cmd_t stream_cmd (uhd :: stream_cmd_t :: \rightarrow
      ← STREAM_MODE_START_CONTINUOUS) :
stream.cmd.num.samps = total.num.samps:
stream_cmd_stream_now = false:
stream_cmd.time_spec = uhd::time_spec_t(seconds_in_future);
rx_stream -> issue_stream_cmd(stream_cmd); // all channels stream
uhd::rx_metadata_t md; // meta-data will be filled in by recv()
const size_t samps = rx_stream ->get_max_num_samps();
std::vector<std::vector<std::complex<char>>> buffs(
  usrp \rightarrow get_rx_num_channels(), std::vector < std::complex < char >>(<math>\rightarrow
         \hookrightarrow samps)):
std::vector<std::complex<char>> buff_ptrs; // vector of pointers \rightarrow
       sto each channel
for (size_t i = 0; i < buffs.size(); i++)
   buff_ptrs.push_back(&buffs[i].front()):
double timeout = seconds_in_future + 0.1; // timeout
std :: ofstream outfile1 . outfile2 :
outfile1.open("/tmp/1.bin". std::ofstream::binary):
outfile2.open("/tmp/2.bin". std::ofstream::binary):
size_t num_acc_samps = 0; // number of accumulated samples
for (int imf=0:imf < 6:imf++) // 8 GB RPi4
  {num_acc_samps = 0; // number of accumulated samples
   while (num_acc_samps < total_num_samps) {
    // receive a single packet
     size_t num_rx_samps = rx_stream \rightarrow recy(buff_ptrs, samps, md, \rightarrow
            \hookrightarrow timeout):
     timeout = 0.1:
     outfile1.write( (const char*)&buffs[0].front(), samps*sizeof(→
            \hookrightarrow std :: complex < char >)):
     outfile2.write( (const char*)&buffs[1], front(), samps*sizeof(\rightarrow
            \hookrightarrow std :: complex < char >)):
     num_acc_samps += num_rx_samps:
if (outfile1.is_open()) { outfile1.close(); }
if (outfile2.is_open()) { outfile2.close(); }
```

Where does the signal come from?

Oblique illumination at $\simeq 45^{\circ} \Rightarrow$ satellite over Brittany when illuminating East of France ($\simeq 700$ km to the East) during an ascending pass ⁷ (693/cos(45°) = 980 km electromagnetic wave path)

Exact acquisition time known from previous pass filename:

raw Level0 dataset

 $S1A_IW_RAW__OSDV_20210519T172356_20210519T172429_037960_047AF4_$ was acquired May 19, 2021 from 17:23:56 to 17:24:29 UTC (33 s duration)

IW SLC Level1 dataset

S1B_IW_SLC__1SDV_20210705T173118_20210705T173144_027662_034D27_ was acquired May 7, 2021 from 17:31:18 to 17:31:44 (16 s duration)

- ▶ 6 GB RAMdisk on the 8 GB Raspberry Pi 4 holds 53 s ≫ 33 or 16 s: longer acquisition spanning the expected time.
- Ascending pass illuminating eastward so reference antenna facing westward and echoes collected by surveillance antenna facing the East.



⁷https://github.com/anoved/Ground-Track-Generator

Passive bi-static measurement using Sentinel1 spaceborne RADAR as non-cooperative source



- 0.5-second usable record (30 MS/s×2 channels)
- Understanding level0 allows splitting datastream according to Pulse Repetition Interval
- Range compression by correlation of reference and surveillance channels
- Range axis determined by illumination geometry: $dr = c \times dt/(1 + \cos \vartheta)$
- Azimuth compression by inverse Fourier transform

See A. Anghel & al., Bistatic SAR imaging with Sentinel-1 operating in TOPSAR mode, 2017 IEEE Radar Conference 10/16

Experimental setup

- ▶ Narrow surveillance beam ⇒ replace the horn antenna with the wide beam helical antenna for broad surveillance angle
- ▶ 5 sec @ 7.49 km/s, range of 980 km: 2 arctan $\left(\frac{7.49 \times 2.5}{980}\right) \simeq 2.2^{\circ} \ll$ horn antenna beamwidth (16 18° @ 20 dB gain) ⇒ helical or horn antenna towards the satellite (better reference channel quality)



Distance from reference to surveillance antenna: 15 m \Rightarrow excessive losses of RG-58 (> 30 dB), use LMR-400 (\leq 6 dB) losses at 5.4 GHz.

Passive bi-static measurement using Sentinel1 spaceborne RADAR as non-cooperative source



- no need for accurate satellite position computation: only satellite velocity is needed with pulse repetition interval
- assumption that satellite-target distance >> target-receiver distance
- \blacktriangleright accurate scale and positioning of ref- \longrightarrow lectors over aerial image



Why inverse Fourier transform for azimuth compression?

- Range compression: cross-correlate reference recorded chirp with surveillance using FFT(xcorr(ref, sur)) = FFT(ref) · FFT*(sur)
- Azimuth compression: it is known⁸ that if a signal is exp(j2πk_x ⋅ x) exp(j2πk_y ⋅ y) then its Fourier transorm is a Dirac function at (x, y): k_x and k_y are the dual quantities to x and y ⇒ can the recorded matrix (sample(t), satellite_position) be expressed under this shape?
- 1. Satellite at (x_s, y_s) moving uniformly along x, receivers at (0, 0), target at (x, y)

2. Satellite-receiver range: $R_1 = \sqrt{(x_s)^2 + (y_s)^2} \simeq y_s + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(x_s)^2}{y_s}$ since $y_s \gg x_s$ and the Taylor series $\sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$ with $u \ll v$ is $v\sqrt{1 + (u/v)^2} \simeq v \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}(u/v)^2\right) = v + \frac{u^2}{2v}$

3. Satellite-target range:
$$R_2 = \sqrt{(x_s - x)^2 + (y_s - y)^2} \simeq y_s - y + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(x_s - x)^2}{y_s - y} \simeq y_s - y + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(x_s - x)^2}{y_s}$$

4. Target-receiver range:
$$R_3=\sqrt{x^2+y^2}$$
 independent on x_s

- 5. Phase: $\varphi = 2\pi f \tau = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} R$ for narrowband signal, with $\tau = R/c$
- 6. Path difference $R_3 + R_2 R_1 \Rightarrow$ phase difference: $2\pi f(\underbrace{R_3}_{\text{target range}}/c) + \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}(R_2 R_1)$ $= 2\pi f R_3/c + \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \left(-y + \frac{1}{2y_s} \underbrace{((x_s - x)^2 - (x_s)^2)}_{a^2 - b^2 = (a+b) \cdot (a-b)} \right) = \underbrace{2\pi f(R_3 - y)/c}_{\text{FFT in range}} + \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \left(-\frac{x}{2y_s} \underbrace{(2x_s - x_s)}_{\text{target range}} - \underbrace{x}_{\text{independent on } x_s} \right) \text{ which}$

separates range and azimuth compression as a 2D iFFT following the appropriate variable translation along f and x_s

⁸https://hforsten.com/synthetic-aperture-radar-imaging.html

Paris dataset from Mont Valérien: "layover" effect on Eiffel tower





- 1: business district buildings ("La Défense")
- 2: Eiffel tower off by 400 m!
- 3: straight roads ("bois de Boulogne")
- 4: Seine river

 $\vartheta \simeq 52^{\circ}$ 90 -Eiffel tower \longrightarrow $h \simeq 320 \text{ m}$ x so that $h/x = \tan(90 - \vartheta)$ i.e. $x = h/\tan(38^\circ) = 409 \text{ m}$



14 / 16

Top of tower appears closer since signal reaches receiver before bottom of tower

Remote sensing and SAR radar images processing - Physics of radar, p.40, ESA/CNES at https://earth.esa.int/c/document_library/get_ fileffolderId=22645&mame=DLFE-2127.pdf

Paris dataset from Mont Valérien: "layover" effect on Eiffel tower





- 1: business district buildings ("La Défense")
- 2: Eiffel tower off by 400 m!
- 3: straight roads ("bois de Boulogne")
- 4: Seine river

 $\vartheta \simeq 52^\circ$ 90 -Eiffel tower \longrightarrow $h \simeq 320 \text{ m}$ x so that $h/x = \tan(90 - \vartheta)$ i.e. $x = h/\tan(38^\circ) = 409 \text{ m}$



Top of tower appears closer since signal reaches receiver before bottom of tower

Remote sensing and SAR radar images processing - Physics of radar, p.40, ESA/CNES at https://earth.esa.int/c/document_library/get_ fileffolderId=22645&mame=DLFE-2127.pdf

Conclusion

- "Low cost" educational opportunity for PBR measurement using moving source (B210 + RPi4 + homemade antenna) and fixed receiver
- real life implementation of "complex" processing algorithm and assess result quality
- advanced processing: 2D to 3D projection accounting for real geometry and achieving quantitative ground coordinates



Portable system deployed in Clermont-Ferrand (center of France)
 Github repository: https://github.com/jmfriedt/sentinel1_pbr
 Perspectives: InSAR measurement by comparing phase of succesive measurements

UAV based acquisition system for better viewing angle (acquisition only lasts a few seconds) Many more spaceborne RADARs to investigate: J. Rosen, *Shifting ground*, Science **371** (6532), pp. 876-880 (2021) "Since 2018, the number of civil and commercial SAR satellites in orbit has more than doubled. And at least a dozen more are set to launch this year, which would bring the total to more than 60."